Update on Rheology of Hyaluronic Acid Soft Tissue Fillers

Ali Pirayesh, Ferial Fanian, Colin Morrison, Deepak Kalaskar and Afshin Mosahebi
Department of Plastic Surgery & Surgical Biotechnology
University College London, London, United kingdom

Introduction

Hyaluronic acid (HA) has emerged as a cornerstone in the field of aesthetic medicine, particularly in the formulation of soft tissue fillers.

The rheological properties of HA fillers, including their viscosity, elasticity, and overall viscoelastic behavior, are critical for their performance in clinical applications.

This literature review aims to delve into the rheological characteristics of HA fillers, the role of crosslinking agents in modifying these properties, and the implications for clinical practice, particularly focusing on the differences between the elastic modulus (G′) and the viscous modulus (G″) in the context of disco-elastic properties.

The Nature and Importance of Hyaluronic Acid Hyaluronic acid is a naturally occurring glycosaminoglycan that plays a vital role in maintaining skin hydration and elasticity. Its unique ability to bind water—up to 1000 times its weight—makes it an ideal candidate for soft tissue augmentation (Carvalho, 2023).

The molecular weight of HA significantly influences its rheological properties; higher molecular weight HA typically exhibits greater viscosity and elasticity, which are desirable traits for fillers intended for volumization (Snetkov et al., 2020). Conversely, lower molecular weight HA may enhance injectability but can compromise the longevity and structural integrity of the filler once injected (Fallacara et al., 2018).

Rheological Properties of HA Fillers

The rheological behavior of HA fillers is characterized by their viscoelasticity, which is a combination of both viscous and elastic properties.

This viscoelastic nature allows fillers to deform under stress while also returning to their original shape when the stress is removed.
The elastic modulus (G′) and the viscous modulus (G″) are key parameters used to describe the mechanical properties of HA fillers (Nisi et al., 2016).

1. **Elastic Modulus (G′)**: This parameter reflects the ability of the material to store energy elastically when deformed. A higher G′ value indicates a stiffer material that can provide better structural support and maintain its shape over time. Fillers with a higher G′ are typically more effective at providing lifting capacity and longer-lasting results in the treatment of facial wrinkles and volume loss (Qiao et al., 2019).

2. **Viscous Modulus (G″)**: This parameter indicates the material's ability to dissipate energy as heat when deformed. A higher G″ value suggests that the

material flows more easily under stress, which can be advantageous for injectability but may compromise the filler's ability to maintain its shape under load (Lee, 2023). The interplay between G′ and G″ is crucial for determining the overall performance of HA fillers. A filler with a high G′ and a relatively low G″ is often preferred for applications requiring structural support, while a filler with a lower G′ and higher G″ may be more suitable for superficial applications where smoothness and flow are essential (Sciabica et al., 2023).

Crosslinking Agents and Their Impact on Rheology

Crosslinking agents are pivotal in the formulation of HA fillers, as they enhance the stability and longevity of the product by creating a three-dimensional network that slows down the degradation of HA in vivo (Mochizuki et al., 2018).

The most commonly used crosslinking agent in HA fillers is 1,4-butanediol diglycidyl ether (BDDE), which has been shown to effectively increase the mechanical strength and elasticity of the filler (Goodman & Broek, 2015).

The degree of crosslinking achieved during the manufacturing process directly influences the rheological properties of the filler, including its viscosity and elasticity (Jones et al., 2010). Different crosslinking agents can impart unique properties to HA fillers. For instance, the use of natural crosslinkers, such as genipin, has been explored as a biocompatible alternative to synthetic agents like BDDE.

These natural crosslinkers may reduce the risk of adverse reactions while still enhancing the mechanical properties of the filler (Corduff et al., 2022).

Furthermore, the choice of crosslinking agent can affect the degradation rate of the filler, influencing how long the product remains effective in the tissue (Jung, 2020).

The Role of Crosslinking Density

The density of crosslinking within HA fillers is a critical factor that influences their rheological properties. Higher crosslinking density typically results in increased G′ values, enhancing the filler's ability to provide structural support (Budai, 2023).

Conversely, excessive crosslinking can lead to reduced injectability and increased stiffness, which may complicate the injection process and affect the aesthetic outcome (Guo et al., 2019). Recent studies have demonstrated that the mechanical strength of HA hydrogels is directly proportional to the concentration of crosslinked HA. For example, Budai et al. noted that increasing the concentration of crosslinked HA resulted in a direct proportional increase in the elastic properties of hydrogels, indicating a clear relationship between crosslinking density and rheological behavior (Budai, 2023).

Clinical Implications of Rheological Properties

The rheological properties of HA fillers have direct implications for their clinical use. Fillers with higher elastic modulus values (G′) are associated with better lifting capacity and longer-lasting results in the treatment of facial wrinkles and volume loss (Sim, 2023).

Clinical studies have demonstrated that the persistence of HA fillers in the tissue can vary significantly based on their formulation, with some products maintaining their volume and structural integrity for up to 24 months post-injection (Phoebe, 2024).

This longevity is particularly important for patients seeking long-term aesthetic improvements without the need for frequent re-treatment. However longevity could also be a concern with build-up of crosslinker which may cause ongoing inflammation if too much HA is used.

Moreover, the injectability of HA fillers is a critical consideration for practitioners.

Fillers with lower viscosity (higher G″) may be easier to inject, particularly in delicate areas such as the lips or under the eyes, where precision is paramount. Conversely, higher viscosity fillers (higher G′) may be more suitable for deeper injections where greater structural support is required. Understanding the flow behavior and mechanical properties of different HA products allows practitioners to select the most appropriate filler for specific treatment areas and patient needs (Sulovsky et al., 2021).

Safety and Biocompatibility

The biocompatibility and safety profile of HA fillers are paramount considerations in their clinical use. Hyaluronic acid is inherently biocompatible, and its degradation products are naturally occurring in the body, which minimizes the risk of adverse reactions (Carvalho, 2023).

However, the introduction of crosslinking agents can sometimes lead to complications, such as delayed inflammatory reactions, necessitating a thorough understanding of the materials used in filler formulations. The careful selection of both HA and crosslinking agents can help mitigate these risks while enhancing the overall performance of the filler (Yoo et al., 2023).

Advances in HA Filler Technology

Recent advancements in HA filler technology have focused on improving the rheological properties and safety profiles of these products. New crosslinking techniques and the incorporation of additional components, such as antioxidants or growth factors, are being explored to enhance the performance and safety of HA fillers (Ayatollahi, 2024).

These innovations aim to improve the rheological properties of fillers while also addressing common concerns such as product migration and the need for retreatment. As research progresses, the development of next-generation HA fillers promises to offer enhanced efficacy and safety profiles for patients seeking aesthetic enhancements.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the rheology of hyaluronic acid soft tissue fillers is a complex interplay of molecular weight, crosslinking agents, and formulation characteristics. Understanding these factors is crucial for optimizing the performance of HA fillers in clinical applications. The choice of crosslinking agent and the degree of crosslinking significantly influence the mechanical properties and longevity of the fillers, impacting their effectiveness in achieving desired aesthetic outcomes. As the field of aesthetic medicine continues to evolve, ongoing research into the rheological properties of HA fillers will undoubtedly lead to further innovations and improvements in patient care. ### This literature review provides a detailed examination of the rheological properties of hyaluronic acid soft tissue fillers, emphasizing the role of crosslinking agents and the significance of elastic and viscous moduli in clinical applications. Further research is essential to continue advancing the understanding and application of HA fillers in aesthetic medicine.

References:

Ayatollahi, A. (2024). Efficacy and tolerability of a hyaluronic acid-based extracellular matrix for labia majora rejuvenation and augmentation: a pilot study. Cureus. https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.58970

Budai, L. (2023). Rheological considerations of pharmaceutical formulations: focus on viscoelasticity. Gels, 9(6), 469. https://doi.org/10.3390/gels9060469
Carvalho, M. (2023). Anti-aging potential of a novel ingredient derived from sugarcane straw extract (sse). International Journal of Molecular Sciences, 25(1), 21. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms25010021

Corduff, N., Juniarti, L., Lim, T., Lin, F., Pavicic, T., Quiambao, A., … & Ho, W. (2022). Current practices in hyaluronic acid dermal filler treatment in asia pacific and practical approaches to achieving safe and natural-looking results. Clinical Cosmetic and Investigational Dermatology, Volume 15, 1213-1223. https://doi.org/10.2147/ccid.s363583

Fagien, S., Bertucci, V., Grote, E., & Mashburn, J. (2019). Rheologic and physicochemical properties used to differentiate injectable hyaluronic acid filler products. Plastic & Reconstructive Surgery, 143(4), 707e-720e. https://doi.org/10.1097/prs.0000000000005429

Fallacara, A., Baldini, E., Manfredini, S., & Vertuani, S. (2018). Hyaluronic acid in the third millennium. Polymers, 10(7), 701. https://doi.org/10.3390/polym10070701
Goodman, G. and Broek, A. (2015). The modified tower vertical filler technique for the treatment of post-acne scarring. Australasian Journal of Dermatology, 57(1), 19-23. https://doi.org/10.1111/ajd.12390

Guo, Z., Mi, S., & Sun, W. (2019). A facile strategy for preparing tough, self-healing double-network hyaluronic acid hydrogels inspired by mussel cuticles. Macromolecular Materials and Engineering, 304(4). https://doi.org/10.1002/mame.201800715

Jones, D., Tezel, A., & Borrell, M. (2010). In vitro resistance to degradation of hyaluronic acid dermal fillers by ovine testicular hyaluronidase. Dermatologic Surgery, 36(1), 804-809. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1524-4725.2010.01550.x

Jung, H. (2020). Hyaluronidase: an overview of its properties, applications, and side effects. Archives of Plastic Surgery, 47(04), 297-300. https://doi.org/10.5999/aps.2020.00752

Kim, K. (2023). “cohesiveness of hyaluronic acid fillers”: evaluation using multiple cohesion tests. Archives of Plastic Surgery, 51(01), 014-019. https://doi.org/10.1055/a-2234-1019

Lee, W. (2023). Etiology of delayed inflammatory reaction induced by hyaluronic acid filler. Archives of Plastic Surgery, 51(01), 020-026. https://doi.org/10.1055/a-2184-6554

Mochizuki, M., Aoi, N., Gonda, K., Hirabayashi, S., & Komuro, Y. (2018). Evaluation of the in vivo kinetics and biostimulatory effects of subcutaneously injected hyaluronic acid filler. Plastic & Reconstructive Surgery, 142(1), 112-121. https://doi.org/10.1097/prs.0000000000004496

Nisi, G., Cuomo, R., Brandi, C., Grimaldi, L., Sisti, A., & D’Aniello, C. (2016). Carbon dioxide therapy and hyaluronic acid for cosmetic correction of the nasolabial folds. Journal of Cosmetic Dermatology, 15(2), 169-175. https://doi.org/10.1111/jocd.12213

Phoebe, L. (2024). Use of platelet rich plasma for skin rejuvenation. Skin Research and Technology, 30(4). https://doi.org/10.1111/srt.13714
Qiao, J., Jia, Q., Jin, H., Li, F., He, C., Yang, J., … & Fu, L. (2019). Long-term follow-up of longevity and diffusion pattern of hyaluronic acid in nasolabial fold correction through high-frequency ultrasound. Plastic & Reconstructive Surgery, 144(2), 189e-196e. https://doi.org/10.1097/prs.0000000000005848

Sciabica, S., Barbari, R., Fontana, R., Tafuro, G., Semenzato, A., Traini, D., … & Manfredini, S. (2023). A safe-by-design approach for the synthesis of a novel cross-linked hyaluronic acid with improved biological and physical properties. Pharmaceuticals, 16(3), 431. https://doi.org/10.3390/ph16030431

Sim, W. (2023). Porcine placenta peptide inhibits uvb-induced skin wrinkle formation and dehydration: insights into mapk signaling pathways from in vitro and in vivo studies. International Journal of Molecular Sciences, 25(1), 83. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms25010083

Snetkov, P., Zakharova, K., Морозкина, С., Olekhnovich, R., & Uspenskaya, M. (2020). Hyaluronic acid: the influence of molecular weight on structural, physical, physico-chemical, and degradable properties of biopolymer. Polymers, 12(8), 1800. https://doi.org/10.3390/polym12081800

Sulovsky, M., Müller, D., Prinz, V., Moellhoff, N., Cajkovsky, M., Duschek, N., … & Frank, K. (2021). A prospective open-label, multicentre study evaluating a non-cross-linked hyaluronic acid based soft-tissue filler in the correction of lateral canthal and perioral lines. Journal of Cosmetic Dermatology, 21(1), 191-198. https://doi.org/10.1111/jocd.14460

Yoo, J., Lee, J., Jang, J., Jung, J., Kim, E., & Choi, S. (2023). Ages blocker™ (goji berry, fig, and korean mint mixed extract) inhibits skin aging caused by streptozotocin-induced glycation in hairless mice. Preventive Nutrition and Food Science, 28(2), 134-140. https://doi.org/10.3746/pnf.2023.28.2.134